

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | F       | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,045                      |         | 12/04/2001 | Eric Rosen           | 010561                  | 9631             |  |
| 23696                           | 7590    | 08/15/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Qualcomm Incorporated ORGAD, ED |         |            |                      |                         | , EDAN           |  |
| Patents Depa<br>5775 Moreho     |         | e          |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| San Diego, (                    | CA 9212 | 1-1714     |                      | 2684                    |                  |  |
|                                 |         |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/15/2009 | 5                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |              |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanass  | 10/006,045  | ROSEN ET AL.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | Edan Orgad  | 2684   |              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |   | <del> </del>   |              |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |   | •  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic   | a latter mailed on 26 January 2005                          |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Nervice period for reply (including a total extension of time of   | Mailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on | ·,   |              |  |  |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37  | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                       | mendment which places the proof of the proof | ne<br>st for |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| (d) 🛛 No reply has been received.   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8   | d publication fee, if applicable, within<br>35).            | the statutory period of thr  | ee months    |  |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balanc   | e of \$ is due.   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n   | ot been received.   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).  | uired by, and within the three-month p                      | period set in, the Notice of   | į            |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br>after the expiration of the period for reply.   | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran                    | smission dated), w   | /hich is     |  |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.   | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                      | ignee of the entire interes  | t, or all of |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres                     | entative capacity under 3  | 7 CFR        |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair  | rence rendered on and becaus<br>ms.                         | e the period for seeking c   | ourt review  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. 🖾 The reason(s) below:   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| conversation with applicant's attorney (John Cicccz   | zi) on 8/1/05 confirmed case is ab                          | pandon.  |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.                        |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 60. 8/1) or   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | 571-272   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  | of Abandonment  | Part of Paper No.  | 20050801     |  |  |  |  |